

## **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW**

### Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

The interview was conducted by telephone on May 27, 2011 and attended by Examiner Gerald Stoica and Applicants' representatives, Ray Smith and the undersigned attorney.

### Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

### Identification of Claims Discussed

Claim 1.

### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

Liu.

### Proposed Amendments

The Applicants proposed adding the word "continuous" to Claim 1.

### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

The Applicants argued that the proposed amendment distinguishes the claimed invention from Liu et al. because the Liu et al. sequence has a large insertion.

### Results of Interview

The Examiner agreed that the amendment would overcome the rejection over Liu et al.